Paula A. Barran, OSB No. 803974 pbarran@barran.com Richard C. Hunt, OSB No. 680770 rhunt@barran.com Wilson S. Jarrell, OSB No. 183784 wjarrell@barran.com

Barran Liebman LLP 601 SW Second Avenue, Suite 2300 Portland, Oregon 97204-3159

Telephone: (503) 228-0500 Facsimile No.: (503) 274-1212 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

Portland Division

LEGACY HEALTH; LEGACY GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER; LEGACY MOUNT HOOD MEDICAL CENTER; LEGACY MERIDIAN PARK HOSPITAL dba LEGACY MERIDIAN PARK MEDICAL CENTER; and LEGACY EMANUEL HOSPITAL & HEALTH CENTER dba LEGACY EMANUEL MEDICAL CENTER,

Case No. 3:22-cv-00573-HZ

PLAINTIFFS' SECOND NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF OREGON; OREGON BUREAU OF LABOR AND INDUSTRIES; VAL HOYLE, in her official capacity as Commissioner of the Oregon Bureau of Labor and Industries; and DUKE SHEPARD, in his official capacity as Deputy Commissioner of the Oregon Bureau of Labor and Industries,

Defendants.

Page 1 – PLAINTIFFS' SECOND NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

Plaintiffs submit this Second Notice of Supplemental Authority in support of their

Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants seek dismissal of Plaintiffs' claims which include claims regarding NLRA

preemption, conflict preemption, the invalidity of rulemaking, the Agency's exceeding relevant

statutory authority, conflicting superseding requirements, critical health care emergencies, and

Section 1983 claims alleging violations of the First Amendment, the excessive fines clause, and

equal protection rights. Defendants rely upon the Younger Abstention doctrine and assert that

Plaintiffs have the burden of showing that their claims cannot be litigated in the contested case

proceedings. (Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, pp. 12-13.)

However, in the contested case proceedings, when the hospitals sought to compel discovery

regarding various affirmative defenses including preemption, irregularities in rulemaking, First

Amendment violations, and the proposed assessment of excessive fines, the BOLI Administrative

Prosecutor opposed the hospitals' Motion to Compel Discovery, contending that the defenses raised

by the hospitals were not relevant. In ruling upon the discovery issues, the BOLI Administrative

Law Judge in a series of Interim Orders ruled that the focus of the hearing would be limited to

whether the hospitals have committed the alleged violations of meal and break rules and that, as a

consequence, the hospitals will not be allowed to compel discovery regarding many of their

affirmative defenses.

Contrary to the Defendants' contentions in this matter, because BOLI's prosecutor has

contended that the hospitals are prohibited from litigating their affirmative defenses at the contested

case proceeding and because the Administrative Law Judge has agreed with the BOLI prosecutor

that the hospitals are precluded from seeking discovery regarding their affirmative defenses, the

hospitals do not have a "full and fair opportunity" to raise federal constitutional arguments in the

state contested case proceedings, and thus the requirements for the application of the Younger

Abstention doctrine have not been met.

///

Page 2 – PLAINTIFFS' SECOND NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

A copy of the Interim Order Denying Respondent's Second Motion to Compel and Denying Motion for Leave to File Discovery Motion After Discovery Motions Deadline dated November 30, 2022, in Case No. 59-20 is attached.

DATED this 22nd day of December, 2022.

BARRAN LIEBMAN LLP

By <u>s/Richard C. Hunt</u>

Paula A. Barran, OSB No. 803974 pbarran@barran.com Richard C. Hunt, OSB No. 680770 rhunt@barran.com Wilson S. Jarrell, OSB No. 183784 wjarrell@barran.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 22nd day of December 2022, I served the foregoing PLAINTIFFS' SECOND NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS on the following parties:

Brian Simmonds Marshall, Senior Assistant Attorney General Alex C. Jones, Assistant Attorney General Department of Justice 100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201 Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state,or.us Alex.Jones@doj.state.or.us (Attorneys for Defendants)

by the following indicated method(s) set forth below:

×	Electronic Filing using the Court's ECF System
	Email
	Facsimile
	First-class mail, postage prepaid
	Hand-delivery
	Overnight courier, delivery prepaid
	<u>s/Richard C. Hunt</u> Richard C. Hunt

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE